arXiv:1408.3073v1 [quant-ph] 25 Jul 2014

A comment on :'Tripartite entanglement versus tripartite nonlocality in 3-qubit GHZ-class states'

Vibhu Gupta*

August 14, 2014

1 Comment

The paper [1] titled "Tripartite entanglement versus tripartite nonlocality in 3-qubit GHZ-class states", authored by Dr. Shohini Ghose and co-authors, published in PRL (Physical Review Letters 102, 250404 (2009)), does very important work on studying the nature of Svetlichny Inequalities (which are Bell-type inequalities) and sheds light on some important properties. It derives a result for the maximum expectation value of Svetlichny operator for GGHZ and MS states. In this comment, it is put forth that there is probably a mistake in the central result derived in the paper.

In the paper [1], a relationship is derived between maximum expectation value of a Bell type operator S which is bounded by the inequality $| < S > | \leq 4$ [2]. A relationship is derived therein between maximum expectation value of S and the 3-tangle τ, which quantifies tripartite entanglement. For the GGHZ state, the relationship derived in [1] is,

$$S(\psi_g) \leq \begin{cases} 4\sqrt{1-\tau(\psi_g)}, & \tau(\psi_g) \leq 1/3 \\ 4\sqrt{2\tau(\psi_g)}, & \tau(\psi_g) \geq 1/3 \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

For the GGHZ state, defined as $cos\theta_1|000\rangle + sin\theta_1|111\rangle$, the three tangle is $sin^2\theta_1$ [1]. The relationship for maximum operator value of Svetlichny operator, defined as $S = A(BK + B'K') + A'(BK' - B'K)$, where $A = \vec{a}.\vec{\sigma_1}$, $A' = \vec{a'}.\vec{\sigma_1}$, (similarly for B and C) and $K = C + C'$ and $K' = C - C'$, and 3-tangle τ is derived in [1]. Doing a maximization of the Svetlichny operator (defined as above), the paper [1] reaches to the equation (equation number 12 in [1]) which reads as:

$$S(\psi_g) \leq \begin{cases} 4cos2\theta_1(cos^2\theta_d + cos^2\theta_{d'})^{\frac{1}{2}}, & cos^2 2\theta_1(cos^2\theta_d + cos^2\theta_{d'} \geq sin^2 2\theta_1(sin^2\theta_d + sin^2\theta_{d'}) \\ 4sin2\theta_1(sin^2\theta_d + sin^2\theta_{d'})^{\frac{1}{2}}, & cos^2 2\theta_1(cos^2\theta_d + cos^2\theta_{d'} \leq sin^2 2\theta_1(sin^2\theta_d + sin^2\theta_{d'}) \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

*vibhugupta01@gmail.com

which on simplification is shown in the paper [1] to reduce to,

$$S(\psi_g) \leq \begin{cases} 4\sqrt{1-\tau(\psi_g)}, & \tau(\psi_g) \leq 1/3 \\ 4\sqrt{2\tau(\psi_g)}, & \tau(\psi_g) \geq 1/3. \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

This equation (3) (equation number 14 in the paper [1]) is wrong, as is shown by the argument which follows.
First part of equation (2) implies that $S(\psi_g) \leq 4\sqrt{1-\tau(\psi_g)}$ when $cos^2 2\theta_1(cos^2\theta_d + cos^2\theta_{d'} \geq sin^2 2\theta_1(sin^2\theta_d + sin^2\theta_{d'})$. Now simplifying *this* condition we have

$$\begin{aligned} cos^2 2\theta_1(cos^2\theta_d + cos^2\theta_{d'}) &\geq sin^2 2\theta_1(sin^2\theta_d + sin^2\theta_{d'}), \\ \implies cos^2 2\theta_1(cos^2\theta_d + cos^2\theta_{d'}) &\geq sin^2 2\theta_1(2 - cos^2\theta_d - cos^2\theta_{d'}) \\ \implies cos^2\theta_d + cos^2\theta_{d'} &\geq 2sin^2 2\theta_1 \\ \implies 2sin^2 2\theta_1 &\leq 1 \\ \implies sin^2 2\theta_1 &\leq 1/2 \\ \implies \tau(\psi_g) &\leq 1/2. \end{aligned}$$

(Because $cos^2\theta_d + cos^2\theta_{d'} \leq 1$.) Similarly for the other inequality. Therefore the inequality (2) should actually be,

$$S(\psi_g) \leq \begin{cases} 4\sqrt{1-\tau(\psi_g)}, & \tau(\psi_g) \leq 1/2 \\ 4\sqrt{2\tau(\psi_g)}, & \tau(\psi_g) \geq 1/2. \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

I think this is a significant correction to the paper, as some experimental works have tried to fit their data according to the derived equation (3) in [1], which should actually be corrected to equation (4).

2 References

1. Ghose et. al. Physical Review Letters 102, 250404 (2009).
2. G. Svetlichny, phys. Rev. D. 35, 3066 (1987).